## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[Volume 1]	
	<b>SESSION 1</b> Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review	Pg. No.
1.	Fali S. Nariman, Our Quest for Justice - and Why it Eludes Us, Quest for Justice : Collection of Essays (Published By NJA Bhopal-2012)	1 to 14
2.	M. P. Singh, Mapping the Constitutional Vision of Justice and Its Realisation, 3 J. Nat'l L. U. Delhi 1, 16 (2015-2016)	15 to 26
3.	Nalin Kant Jha, Realising The Constitutional Vision: Road Blocks and Road Ahead, The Indian Journal of Political Science, 2005, 66(1), 9-28.	27 to 46
4.	Arvind P. Datar, Our Constitution and its Self-Inflicted Wounds, 1(1) The Indian Journal of Constitutional Law 92-112 (2007)	47 to 68
5.	S. B. Sinha, Constitutional Challenges in the 21st Century, 21 Nat'l L. Sch. India Rev. 117 (2009)	69 to 84
6.	Arvind P. Datar and Rahul Unnikrishnan, Interpretation of Constitutions, National Law School of India Review , Vol. 29, No. 2 (2017), pp. 136-148	85 to 98
7.	Justice H.R. Khanna, Role of Judges, 1979 1 SCC Jour 17	99 to 108
8.	Important Precedents in brief:  1. Indian Young Lawyers Association and others v. State	109 to 134
	of Kerala and others (2018 SCC OnLine SC 1690)	109
	2. Joseph Shine v. Union of India (2018 SCC OnLine SC 1676)	111
	3. Navtej Singh Johar & ors v. Union of India, through Secretary Ministry of Law & Justice & ors (2018) 10	112

	SCC 1	
	4. Common Cause (A Regd. Society) v. Union of India and another (2018 Indlaw SC 178)	113
	5. Justice K S Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. v. Union of India & Ors. (2017) 10 SCC 1	115
	6. Shayara Bano v/s. Union of India & others (Triple Talaq Case) (2017) 9 SCC 1	125
	7. Subramaniam Swamy v/s. Union of India (2016) 7 SCC 221	128
	8. Nabam Rebia and Bamang Felix v/s. Deputy Speaker and others (2016) 8 SCC 1	130
	9. Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association v/s. Union of India (2015 AIR SCW 5457)	131
	10.National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India and others AIR 2014 SC 1863	133
9.	S. P. Sathe, Judicial Review in India: Limits and Policy, 35(4) Ohio State Law Journal 870-899 (1974)	135 to 164
10.	Christopher Wolfe, Three Contemporary Theories of Judicial Review: A Critical Review, 15(1) The Political Science Reviewer 215-260 (1985)	165 to 210
11.	Manoj Mate, Globalization, Rights and Judicial Review in the Supreme Court of India, 25(3) Washington International Law Journal 643-672 (2016)	211 to 240
12.	Wojciech Sadurski, Judicial Review and the Protection of Constitutional Rights, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 22, No. 2 (Summer, 2002), pp. 275-299	241 to 266
13.	Important Precedents in brief: Judicial Review	267 to 278
	1. Prafulla Kumar v. Bank of Commerce, Khulna AIR 1947 PC 60	267
	2. Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain & Another AIR 1975 SC 1590	269

5. Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association v.	4. I. R. Coelho (Dead) by LRs v. State of Tamil Nadu & ors. (2007) 2 SCC 1	274
Union of India (NJAC Judgement) 2015 AIR SCW 5457	Union of India (NIAC Judgement) 2015 AID SCW 5457	277

## SESSION 2

Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture

		Pg. 1	No.
1.	Fali S. Nariman, The Concept of An Independent Judiciary, 1 Journal of The National Judicial Academy 261-282 (2005)	279 300	
2.	Justice Ruma Pal, Separation of Powers, Chapter 15 from The Oxford Handbook of The Indian Constitution (2016) Edited by, Sujit Chaudhary, Madhav Khosla and Pratap Bhanu Meht	301 318	
3.	P. Parameshwar Rao, Separation Of Powers In A Democracy: The Indian Experience, 37(1) Peace Research 113-122 (2005)	319 328	
4.	B. L. Garg, Problem of the Separation of Judiciary in India, The Indian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 25, No. 3/4.	329 336	
5.	Maxwell A. Cameron and Tulia G. Falleti, Federalism and the Subnational Separation of Powers, Publius, Vol. 35, No. 2 (Spring, 2005), pp. 245-27	337 364	
6.	N. W. Barber, Prelude to the Separation of Powers, The Cambridge Law Journal, Vol. 60, No. 1 (Mar., 2001), pp. 59-88	365 394	
7.	S. A. Paleker, Federalism: A Conceptual Analysis, The Indian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 67, No. 2 (APRJUNE, 2006), pp. 303-310	395 402	
8.	K. H. Cheluva Raju, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar and Making of the Constitution: A Case Study Of Indian Federalism, The Indian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 52, No. 2 (April - June 1991), pp. 153-164		

9.	Benjamin N. Schoenfeld, Federalism In India, 20(1) The Indian Journal of Political Science 52-62(1959)	415 to 426
10.	Louise Tillin, United in Diversity? Asymmetry in Indian Federalism, 37(1) Publius, 45-67 (2007)	427 to 450
11.	T.S. Narayan Rao, Distribution of Legislative Powers in The Constitution of India, 11(4) the Indian Journal of Political Science, 43-47 (1950)	451 to 456
12.	Satya Prakash Dash, Indian Federalism & Distribution Of Responsibilities, 68(4) The Indian Journal of Political Science 697-710 (2007)	457 to 470
13.	Manish Tewari and Rekha Saxena, The Supreme Court of India: The Rise of Judicial Power and the Protection of Federalism, University of Toronto Press. (2017)	471 to 504
14.	B.N. Srikrishna, Beyond Federalism, India International Centre Quarterly, Vol. 38, No. 3/4, The Golden Thread: Essaysin Honour of C.D. Deshmukh (WINTER 2011 - SPRING 2012), pp. 386-407	505 to 526
15.	Important Precedents in brief: Federalism	527 to 556
	1. Gujarat University v. Krishna Ranganath Mudholkar, AIR 1963 SC 703	527
	2. State of West Bengal v. Union of India, AIR 1963 SC 1241	529
	3. Union of India v. H.S. Dhillon, AIR 1972 SC 1061	530
	4. State Of Karnataka vs. Union Of India & Another, AIR 1978 SC 68	532
	5. Hoechst Pharmaceuticals Ltd. v. State of Bihar, AIR 1983 SC 1019	540
	6. S. R. Bommai vs. Union of India, AIR 1994 SC 1918	543
	7. Swaraj Abhiyan vs Union Of India, Supreme Court of India on 21 July, 2017	545

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

[Volume 2]

## SESSION 3

Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights &

	Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights &	
	Theory of Basic Features: Contours	
		Pg. No.
1.	Robert A. Samek, Untrenching fundamental rights, 27(4) McGill Law Journal 755- 787(1982)	557 to 590
2.	Alan Gewirth, Are There Any Absolute Rights?, 31(122) The Philosophical Quarterly 1- 16 (1982)	591 to 596
3.	S. Sundara Rami Ready, Fundamentalness of Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles in the Indian Constitution, Journal of the Indian Law Institute, Vol. 22, No. 3 (July-September 1980), pp. 399-407	597 to 606
4.	Rajbir Singh Dalal, Fundamental Rights Enshrined In Indian Constitution Provisions and Practices, The Indian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 70, No. 3 (JULY - SEPT., 2009), pp.779-786	607 to 614
5.	Soli J Sorabjee, Expansion and Protection of Fundamental Rights by Judicial Interpretation and Intervention, (2014) 7 NUJS L Rev 1	615 to 626
6.	Mahendra P. Singh, The Statics and Dynamics of the Fundamental Rights and the Directive Principles- A Human Rights Perspectives, (2003) 5 SCC J 1	627 to 640
7.	Important Precedents: Fundamental Rights	641 to 692
	1. Ram Jawaya Kapur vs. State of Punjab, AIR 1955 SC 549	641
	2. State of Bombay vs. R. M. D. Chamarbaugwala, AIR 1957 SC 699	643
	3. State of Bombay vs. Kathi Kalu Oghad & Ors, AIR 1961 SC 1808	645

4. Guru Datta Sharma vs. State of Bihar, AIR 1961 SC 1684	647
5. Babulal Parate vs State of Maharashtra & Ors., AIR 1961 SC 884	649
6. Raghubar Dayal Jai Prakash vs. The Union Of India And Others, AIR 1962 SC 263	651
7. Kedar Nath Singh vs. State Of Bihar, AIR 1962 SC 955	653
8. Ranjit D. Udeshi vs. State of Maharashtra , AIR 1965 SC 881	654
9. E. M. Sankaran Namboodiripad vs. T. Narayanan Nambiar, AIR 1970 SC 2015	655
10. Vrajlal Manilal & Co. & Ors vs. State Of Madhya Pradesh. & Ors, AIR 1970 SC 129	657
11. C. K. Daphtary & Ors vs. O. P. Gupta & Ors, AIR 1971 SC 1132	659
12. Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India, AIR 1978 SC 597	662
13. Bijoe Emmanuel & Ors vs. State Of Kerala & Ors, AIR 1987 SC 748	664
14. L N Mishra Institute of Economic Development and Social Change, Patna vs.State of Bihar and ors., AIR 1988 SC 1136	666
15. Ajay Canu vs. Union of India & ors, AIR 1988 SC 2027	671
16. Unni Krishnan, J.P. and ors. Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and ors., AIR 1993 SC 2178	673
17. People's Union of Civil Liberties vs. Union of India and Anr., AIR 1997 SC 568	674
18. Moulana Mufti Syed Md. Noorur vs. State Of West Bengal And Ors, AIR 1999 Cal 15	676
19. Shayara Bano vs. Union of India & others (Triple Talaq Case), Supreme Court of India Dated - 22nd Aug. 2017	679

	20. Justice K S Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors., (2017) 10 SCC 1	682		
8.	P. P. Rao, Basic Features of the Constitution, (2000) 2 SCC J-1	693 to 708		
9.	Virendra Kumar, Basic Structure of Indian Constitution: Doctrine of Constitutionally Controlled Governance [From Kesavananda Bharati to I. R. Coelho], 49 (3) Journal of The Indian Law Institute 365-398 (2007)	709 to 742		
10.	Christopher J. Beshara, Basic Structure Doctrines and the Problem of Democratic Subversion: Notes from India, Verfassung und Recht in Übersee / Law and Politics in Africa, Asia and LatinAmerica, Vol. 48, No. 2 (2015), pp. 99-123	743 to 768		
11.	Important Precedents: Basic Structure	769 to 810		
	1. Kesavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala, AIR 1973 SC 1461	769		
	2. Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain & Another, AIR 1975 SC 1590	776		
	3. Minerva Mills Ltd. & Ors. vs. Union Of India & Ors, AIR 1980 SC 1789	779		
	4. M. Nagaraj and Others vs. Union Of India & Others, AIR 2007 SC 71	805		
	5. I.R. Coelho (Dead) By Lrs vs. State Of Tamil Nadu & Ors, AIR 2007 SC 861	807		
Ci	SESSION 4  Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts			
1.	Justice M. Jagannadha Rao, Case Management And Its	Pg. No.		
	Advantages, http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/adr_conf/Mayo%20Rao%20ca se%20mngt%203.pdf Last accessed on 19.09.2019, 12:21 PM.	811 to 826		
2.	Justice Roshan Dalvi, The Business of Court Management, XVI (III) NYAYA DEEP 13-35 (July 2015)	827 to 850		

3.	Justice Madan B. Lokur, Case Management Through Court Annexed Mediation and Other Developments, (2013) Retrieved from: www.lawasia.asn.au/judicial%20-%20lokur.pdf	851 to 870	
3.	Lord Neuberger, Technology and the Law, Closing keynote address at British Irish Commercial Law Association Law Forum on 21/04/2016	871 to 880	
4.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, Technology and Timely justice, Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5-12(January-March, 2016)	881 to 888	
5.	Jane Donoghue, The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and Access to Justice, The Modern Law Review Volume 80 November 2017 No. 6	889 to 920	
6.	Mary Rose Papandrea, Moving Beyond Cameras in the Courtroom: Technology, the Media, and the Supreme Court, Brigham Young University Law Review 1901-1952 (2012)	921 to 972	
7.	Jerry Goldman, Courts and information technology: A Predictable Uneasy Relationship, 55(2) Loyola Law Review 235-248(2009)	973 to 986	
8.	Hussain & Another vs. Union of India & Another, (2017) 5 SCC 702	987 to 994	
	SESSION 5 The Art of Hearing		
1.	Dr. Justice B S Chauhan, The Art of Hearing, Lecture delivered at National Judicial Academy on 14th September 2018.	995 to 1010	
2.	Paula Lustbader, Listening from the Bench Fosters Civility and Promotes Justice, Seattle Journal of Social Justice, 13(3), 2015, 903-934	1011 to 1042	
3.	Henry J. Friendly, Some Kind of Hearing, University of Pennsylvania Law Review, Vol. 123, No. 6 (Jun., 1975), pp. 1267-1317	1043 to 1094	
4.	Jonathan R. Cohen, Open minded Listening, Charlotte Law Review, 5, 2014, 139-164	1095 to 1120	